



201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10197-2001 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 26.08.2025

A.S. MAKKAR

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate for
respondent Nos.1 to 3 (through Hybrid Mode).

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

As per the office report, service upon Respondent No.4 could not be effected as the petitioner has failed to furnish the correct address of Respondent No.4.

The order sheet further reveals that the counsel for the petitioner has continuously failed to appear since March 24, 2025, i.e., on two occasions.

Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, despite prior intimation from the registry regarding the next date of hearing.

In view of the above, this Court is left with no alternative but to conclude that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the matter any further and, accordingly, the petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

26.08.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable :Yes/No