

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6341-2025
Date of decision: 14.05.2025

MAYANK RANA AND OTHERS

....PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sankalp Gehlawat, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurasis, Advocate for
Mr. Dev Ahlawat, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-3) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-1) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
489	25.09.2023	323, 341, 506 IPC and 3 of the SC and ST Act	Sector 27, Sonipat

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-1), which is duly signed by them. The matter



CRM-M-6341-2025

2

was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat, vide report dated 20.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 20,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 04.02.2025.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 489 dated 25.09.2023 under Sections 323, 341, 506 IPC and 3 of the SC and St Act, registered at Police Station Sector 27, Sonipat, Haryana, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

14.05.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |