

2025.PHHC.015432



128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-6029-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.02.2025**

Surinder Kaur and another		...Petitioner(s)
	Versus	
State of Punjab and others		...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short, 'the BNSS'*) for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to ensure that petitioners are not harassed by respondent No.4, at the instance of respondent No.5.

2. Contends that private-respondent No.5 is sister-in-law (*Jethani*) of petitioner No.1 and permanently settled in the United Kingdom. Further contends that respondent No.5 wants to grab the share of petitioners in the property, i.e., a house measuring 1.5 Marlas, jointly owned by the late husband of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.5.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper-book.

4. After going through the paper-book, it transpires that dispute is purely of civil nature.

2025.PHHC.015432



5. In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose off the petition with liberty to the petitioners, to avail any other remedy as per law, if so advised.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. Needless to say that keeping in view the controversy involved, respondent Nos.3 & 4 shall not call the petitioners to Police Station, unless a notice is issued in terms of Section 160 Cr.P.C. (Section 179 of the BNSS).
8. Above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case, in any manner.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed off.

03.02.2025
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes / No*
Whether reportable : *Yes / No*