



CRM-M-6309-2025

-1-

**(220) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6309-2025

Date of Decision: 05.08.2025

GURLAL SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Bhullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarabpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.89 dated 11.07.2024 registered under Sections 109, 190, 324(6) of the BNS and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Khalra, District Tarn Taran (Annexure P-1).

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. As the petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2024 and none of the 18 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, the counsel for the complainant does not dispute the factum of a compromise having been arrived at between the parties and states that he has no objection, if the petitioner is granted the concession of bail.



CRM-M-6309-2025

-2-

4. The learned counsel for the State contends that the petitioner is a habitual offender with other cases registered against him. Therefore, he is not entitled the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2024 and none of the 18 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Though, the petitioner is an accused in other cases, in the instant case, the parties have effected a compromise and the counsel for the complainant has categorically stated that he has no objection, if the petitioner is granted the concession of bail. As the petitioner is in custody since 05.10.2024 and none of the 18 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Gurlal Singh son of Sukhchain Singh is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

05.08.2025

kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No