

2025:PHHC:032067



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRM-M-5927-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.03.2025

Ranjit Singh**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking direction to the official respondents for constituting a Special Investigating Team (SIT) or assigning the case to some senior police officer or an independent investigating agency to conduct inquiry into the complaints dated 20.01.2025 (Annexures P-6 & P-7), moved by the petitioner against the private respondents making prayer for taking action by registering a case under the provisions Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities), Act, 1989 (*for short 'the Act, 1989'*) and other relevant provisions of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*for short 'BNS'*).

2. Short reply has been filed by the respondent-State. It is submitted therein and learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has argued that on the basis of the statement made by one Talwinder Singh an FIR bearing No. 6 dated 14.01.2025 had been registered under Sections 331(6), 115, 351,

2025:PHHC:032067



191(1) and 190 of the BNS at Police Station Amloh, District Fatehgarh Sahib against the present petitioner and others with regard to an incident, in which Gurnoor Singh, complainant Talwinder Singh and Kesar Singh had sustained multiple injuries on their persons. Thereafter, the petitioner had moved representations/complaints, on which, detailed inquiry was conducted and a cross case bearing FIR No. 35 dated 04.03.2025, under Sections 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of the Act, 1989, Section 351 of BNS and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 has been registered against respondent No. 7 Harpreet Singh and the case is under investigation.

3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not disputed the aforesaid facts.
4.

In view of the above, since the representations/complaints moved by the petitioner have already been inquired into and an FIR has already been registered against the opposite party, which is under investigation, the present petition is disposed of at this stage, as no further orders are called for. However, the official respondents are directed to ensure protection of the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as his family members in case there is any threat perception to them.

06.03.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No