



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-10825-1999 (O&M)
Decided on :03.07.2025

THE NEW UNITED TRANSPORT COMPANY . .Petitioners

Versus

STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, PUNJAB AND
OTHERS . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Perusal of the file reveals that on the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.
2. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the State submits that route permit given to the petitioner-company has already expired and the present petition even otherwise has been rendered infructuous and probably, due to this reason, petitioner must have lost the interest in pursuing the present remedy.
4. Keeping in view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.
5. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

03.07.2025
Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~
Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No

