



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**CRWP-1043-2025 (O&M)
Decided on : 11.02.2025**

Jasvir Kaur and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sarbjeeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-178-2025

I. This is an application filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, for placing on the amended memo of parties.

II. In view of the averments made in the application, prayer made therein is allowed. Amended memo of parties filed along with application is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

III. CRM stands disposed of.

CRWP-1043-2025

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are



tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Jasvir Kaur	Hardeep Singh
Age (in years)	27 years	24 years
Date of marriage	29.01.2025	
Rite	Sikh Rites	
Represented on	29.01.2025	
Submitted to	Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 7	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 29.01.2025 (Annexure P-7), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to look into the representation dated 29.01.2025 (Annexure P-7), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to



have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 11, 2025
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*