



CWP-2898-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-2898-2025

Date of Decision : February 03, 2025

Sahil and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rashpinder Singh Sohi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are claiming the salary as per the Rules governing the service and the said issue has already been decided by this Court while passing order in ***CWP No.15896 of 2023 titled as Saurabh Sharma and others vs. Sate of Punjab and another, decided on 13.09.2024***, which judgment has already been upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India hence, the respondents be directed to grant the petitioners the salary of the posts as envisaged under the Rules.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 05.11.2024 (Annexure P-9) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be

**CWP-2898-2025****2**

satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 05.11.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

February 03, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No