



CWP-10190-1999 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**(112) CWP-10190-1999 (O&M)
Date of Decision : January 14, 2025**

Kashmir Lal and others .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gulshan Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Reply on behalf of respondents has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

2. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they were entitled for the regularization of their services in pursuance to the Instructions which had been issued by the State of Punjab that too keeping in view the relevant date of appointment of the petitioners but the claim of the petitioners under the said Instructions were not considered in accordance with law, which is causing prejudice though, subsequently, the services of the petitioners have been regularized.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the respondents are under an obligation to grant the petitioners the consideration of their

**CWP-10190-1999 (O&M)****2**

claim for regularization keeping in view the policy which had come into operation after their date of appointment and the respondents be directed to perform the said obligation by passing appropriate speaking order.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the claim of all the petitioners will be considered and an appropriate speaking order will be passed on the claim of the petitioners within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order keeping in view the policy which had come into operation after their date of appointment and in case, it is found feasible to grant the petitioners the benefit of regularization under any of such policy and in case the petitioners fulfill the terms and conditions of the said policy, appropriate relief will be granted to the petitioners otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioners as well for their information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. Civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

January 14, 2025*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No