



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

CWP-11071-2000(O&M)

Date of Decision : July 31, 2025

SMT. SHEO BAI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

ST OF HARYANA & ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present : Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate for respondent No.4.
Mr. Rupender Singh Rana, Advocate for respondent No.5.

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that in view of the categorical averments made in the paragraphs No.6 and 7 of the reply filed on behalf of respondent No.4, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid position.
3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.
4. All pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

July 31, 2025
ajaysharma

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No