



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.210

FAO-5628-2002
Date of Decision: 31.10.2025

BABLI ...Appellant

Versus

PAWAN KUMAR SHARMARespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Parunjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

This is a fully burnt case.

However, a perusal of the paperbook reveals that no documents
have been received from any party.

In light of this situation, no purpose would be served by keeping
this revision petition pending. Hence, the same is hereby dismissed for want
of prosecution, with liberty to revive it, if necessary, within a period of two
months.

All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of
accordingly.

31.10.2025 (MANDEEP PANNU)
Anu JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No