



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

**CWP-2700-2025 (O&M)
Decided on :02.04.2025**

DARBARA SINGH

. .Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Abhishek Pundir, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 27.09.2024 (Annexure P-4) by which, the appeal of the petitioner has been decided by the respondents without providing the correct and complete information to the petitioner, which is arbitrary and illegal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents have changed the file number of khewat No. 266 with khewat No. 278.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the case file with his able assistance.
4. It may be noticed that whatever the information was available with the respondents, the same has been supplied by them to the petitioner. In case as per information qua the electricity connection, so illegally has been connected by the department concerned then the petitioner could have availed the appropriate remedy before the appropriate forum.
5. Even otherwise, before passing of the order dated 29.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) passed by State Information Commissioner Haryana, the petitioner had filed a contempt petition before this Court bearing COCP No.2099 of 2024, stating that his claim has not been decided by the authorities concerned. As per the order order dated 28.11.2024 passed in



COCP No. 2099 of 2024, this Court, had accepted that the information required to be supplied to the petitioner had already been supplied to him vide order dated 29.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) and nothing has survived in the said contempt petition, hence, the petitioner withdrew the said contempt petition without raising any further grievance.

6. Now again, the said order dated 29.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) has been challenged by the petitioner before this Court. The only argument of the petitioner is that the information qua the electricity connection being supplied to him is incorrect. Nothing evident has come on record to show that the information being supplied to the petitioner is as incorrect as available in the record of the respondents. Merely, that the information is not to the liking of the petitioner makes no ground to reopen the issue, hence, keeping in view the totality of the facts and circumstances of the present case, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court, the present petition is dismissed.

7. Pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

02.04.2025

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/~~No~~

Whether Reportable: ~~Yes~~/No