



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5891-2025
Date of decision: 04.04.2025

JUDGE SINGH ALIAS JUDGE SINGH SIDHUPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
100	27.06.2024	21 (c), 23 and 29 of NDPS Act (Section 25 and 27-A of NDPS Act added later on)	Chheharata, Amritsar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 21.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 21.03.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ Status report dated 20.03.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, West,



Amritsar, has been filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. *Heard.*

3. *It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has no concern, whatsoever, with the recoveries effected from the co-accused. Petitioner has been nominated on the alleged disclosure statement of accused Satnam Singh alias Satta who has also nominated Paramjit Singh in the disclosure statement. He contends that the co-accused Paramjit Singh, who was already arrested in the case, but no recovery of contraband has been effected from him. Even the petitioner was implicated in two cases earlier by the police but no recovery was there from him as per*

4. *Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balvir Kumar, has not disputed the fact that consequent upon the arrest of Paramjit Singh who was also named along with the petitioner by Satnam Singh alias Satta, no recovery of contraband has been effected from him.*

5. *Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.*

6. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present along with record on the next date of hearing.*

7. *Adjourned to 04.04.2025."*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from Investigating Officer of the case informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation



consequent to the order dated 21.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 21.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

04.04.2025

puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |