

CRA-S-3066-SB-2012

2025:PHHC:162368



::1::

(252)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-3066-SB-2012

Date of Decision:- 19.11.2025

SATBIR SINGH

.....Appellant

VERSUS

State Of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

**Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate
for the appellants.**

Mr. M.S. Toor, A.A.G., Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The present appeal has been filed impugning the judgment dated 05.10.2012 passed by the Judge, Special Court, Sangrur whereby the appellant came to be convicted and sentenced vide a judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 05.10.2012 for committing the offence under Section 15 of the NDPS Act and sentenced to undergone rigorous imprisonment of 03 months and to pay a fine of Rs.500/-, in default of payment of fine, to further undergo rigorous imprisonment for 07 days.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 10.02.2008 ASI Gulab Singh along with the police party proceeding towards Village Ubhawal from Baguana Basti on private vehicles in connection with patrolling. When the police party reached near the T Point Ubhawal, Sangrur it was about 11 AM that the accused was seen coming on foot carrying a bag in his left hand who on seeing the police party got perplexed and tried to turn back. He was apprehended on



::2::

suspicion by ASI Gulab Singh. On enquiry, the person disclosed his name as Satbir Singh (appellant) and the recovery of powered poppy heads came to be effected from the appellant weighing 4.5 Kgs.

3. On conclusion of the Trial, the appellant came to be convicted and sentenced vide judgment dated 05.10.2012 by the Court of Judge, Special Court, Sangrur as above.

4. The learned counsel for the appellant, at the very outset, submits that the appellant does not wish to challenge his conviction but prays that the sentence imposed upon him be reduced to the period already undergone by him which is 01 month and 22 days out of his substantive sentence of 03 months.

5. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has placed on record separate custody certificates dated 18.11.2025 of the appellant as per which he has undergone actual sentence of 01 month and 22 days. He contends that the nature of the allegations against the appellant does not entitle him to any sympathy by way of reduction of his sentence. He, therefore, prays that the present appeal is liable to be dismissed and the sentence imposed upon him be upheld.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. A perusal of the impugned judgment would reveal that it has been passed on proper appreciation of the evidence on record. Therefore, finding no fault in the same, the present appeal stands dismissed.

8. As regards the imposition of sentence, it may be noted that the appeal is of the year 2012. The appellant has already undergone actual sentence of 01 month and 22 days. The total sentence imposed on the appellant



::3::

is 03 months rigorous imprisonment. He is a first-time offender. Therefore, while upholding his conviction, the sentence of the appellant is modified as under:-

Offence U/Ss	Sentence RI/SI	Fine	RI/SI in default of payment of fine
15 of the NDPS Act	RI 01 month and 22 days	Rs.5000/-	RI 01 month

9. The present appeal stands disposed of in the above terms.
10. The miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

19.11.2025
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No