



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6181-2025

Date of Decision:- 21.03.2025

Vinay Kumar Sharma

....Petitioner

Vs.

Indu Bala

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Jashandeep Singh Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner Vinay Kumar Sharma has filed petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for setting aside impugned order (Annexure P-1) dated 14.01.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, in CRA/110/2021 vide which on account of non-appearance of petitioner Vinay Kumar Sharma, stay order stood vacated.
2. It is submitted that petitioner had approached learned trial Court and intended to file application in this regard, which was not entertained by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, as a result, he has filed present petition.
3. Stand taken by petitioner is considered. Perusal of impugned order (Annexure P-1) indicates that nobody was appearing on behalf of petitioner (appellant in CRA/110/2021) for last two dates and under these circumstances, stay order was vacated. Petitioner is at liberty to seek appropriate remedy before learned trial Court. I do not find any reason to



CRM-M-6181-2025

-2-

interfere in impugned order. In case, any application is filed by petitioner before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh, same may be disposed of as per law.

4. With aforesaid observation, present petition stands disposed of.

5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

21.03.2025
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No