


2025:PHHC:017074



112.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1018-2025

Date of decision: 05.02.2025

Amin and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Waqar Ahmed Khurshid, Advocate, for the petitioners
(through Video Conferencing).

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7, who are averse to their live in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged about 45 years, and petitioner No.2, aged about 23 years, are in a live in relationship, however, the private respondents, who are family members of petitioners, have not been able to accept their live in relationship, as a result of which, they have been continuously extending threats of dire consequences to them. Resultantly, a representation dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh, for protection of their life and liberty, but in vain. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners

would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to look into their representation dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Rahul Mohan, Senior DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Nuh to look into the representation dated 27.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) *qua* the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 05, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No