



**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1049-2000

Date of Decision: 03.07.2025

Janki Dass

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajvir Singh Sihag, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Madan Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to release his retiral benefits i.e. Gratuity, Leave Encashment and Pension.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that gratuity stands released. Provident Fund has to be released by Provident Fund Authorities. The respondent is a co-operative society which is not paying pension to its employees. The service of petitioner was non-pensionable, thus, there was no question of pension.

3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the petition with liberty to approach Provident Fund Authorities with respect to his claim *qua* Provident Fund.

4. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands *disposed of* as not pressed with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.07.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No