



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-2767-2025

Date of decision: 31.01.2025

SACHIN KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Verma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents-Union of India.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court for seeking directions to respondents No.1 to 2 to explain the circumstances under which preference was given to the reserved category in place of unreserved category and to also decide the legal notice dated 07.01.2025.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-Union of India contends that the petitioner is raising a dispute with respect to appointment/promotion by way of examination for a Central Govt. employment and hence, this Court would not have the jurisdiction. The petitioner would be required to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal for seeking redressal of his grievance.



CWP-2767-2025

-2-

Faced with the above, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition so as to take recourse to appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 31, 2025

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No