



201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5561-2025

Date of Decision:- 27.05.2025

TITU

....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 482 Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.30 dated 27.01.2024 under Section 346 of IPC (Sections 363, 366-A, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC and Section 6 of POCSO Act added later-on) registered at Police Station City Dabua, District Faridabad.
2. Facts of the case are, complainant lodged report with the police alleging that marriage of his daughter i.e. victim 'S' aged about 20 years was fixed for 18th February. She left the house without telling anybody on 26.01.2024. Complainant suspected that his daughter has been abducted by somebody. With these allegations, present FIR was registered.
3. During Investigation, school record showing the date of birth of the victim was taken into police possession according to which her date of birth is 02.10.2006. Copy of school record is Annexure R-1. On 30.01.2024 she appeared in the Police Station and produced copy of her marriage



certificate with co-accused Pawan. Her statement was recorded which is Annexure R-2. She was also medically examined. Main accused Pawan was arrested and on completion of investigation, challan was presented qua him.

4. Regarding present petitioner, learned counsel argued that in pursuance of order dated 30.01.2025 petitioner has joined the investigation as and when required. No recovery is to be effected from him. He will cooperate with the Investigating Agency. Therefore, anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

5. Status report has been filed. Learned State counsel opposed the anticipatory bail application only on the ground that present petitioner has not cooperated for the arrest of co-accused Deepak. Therefore, his custodial interrogation is required and his anticipatory bail application may be declined.

6. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. Main allegations were against co-accused Pawan. After completion of investigation, challan has been presented and he is also granted regular bail in CRM-M-62715-2024 vide order dated 18.12.2024 (Annexure P-7). Similarly, co-accused Rekha is also granted regular bail in CRM-M-59842-2024 vide order dated 04.12.2024 (Annexure P-6). Status report and the documents annexed therewith clearly indicate that investigation has been completed and co-accused are already challaned. Present petitioner has also joined investigation as and when required. So far as arrest of co-accused Deepak is concerned, it is for the investigating agency to arrest him. Therefore, I do not find any force in the arguments advanced by learned counsel representing State of Haryana. Since petitioner has already joined



the investigation, therefore, anticipatory bail application filed by him is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

27.05.2025
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No