



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

228

CRM-M-5513-2025
Decided on : 04.02.2025

Rajesh Gujjar @ Rajesh Kumar . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Shekhar Thakur, Advocate and
Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal, DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rajesh Gujjar @ Rajesh Kumar	205	19.07.2024	406, 420, 120-B, 506 of IPC and Section 12 of Chit Funds Act, 1982	Sadar Pehowa	Kurukshetra (Haryana)

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the allegations against the petitioner is of alluring the people to make investment with the Company i.e. BITFX.CO.COM, whereby, the investors would get double the amount within a short span of time. The total amount involved is Rs.3,00,00,000/-, and out of which, Rs.1,75,00,000/- has already been returned to the different investors and now, an amount of Rs.1,25,00,000/-



is yet to be paid back to the investors.

Additionally, learned counsel submits that petitioner is not holding any responsible post in the company. At best, it can be said that he was earning some commission after alluring the people for investment in the said company.

3. Learned counsel also submits that the real culprits are the owners/directors of the company, who have already been declared 'proclaimed persons' and they have, rather, absconded outside India. Further submits that offences are also triable by the Court of Magistrate and after completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has also been submitted to the Court of Area Magistrate and trial is yet to commence. Petitioner is inside jail since 23.11.2024, and there is no likelihood of the trial concluding in the near future, thus, further incarceration of the petitioner would not be of any use of the prosecution agency. Accordingly, he prays for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. *Per contra*, while vehemently opposing the prayer of the petitioner, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in a serious matter, as the general public has been defrauded. As per the allegations, his complicity is evident, and the fact that the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate, would also not be relevant, as per the gravity of the allegations. Therefore, petitioner does not deserves any leniency.

However, on being asked by the Court, learned State counsel also submits that after completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been submitted, however, charges are yet to be framed. Thus, out of total 19 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far.

Besides, learned State counsel also verifies that the petitioner is



not involved in any other case of similar nature.

5. In view of totality of circumstances, and the facts/allegations levelled against the petitioner, and the factors noticed here-in-above, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

6. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

February 04, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*