



114 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2494-2025

Date of Decision : 30-01-2025

KARAMJIT SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners workmen is that they are entitled for minimum of the pay scale along with dearness allowance for the post on which they are working keeping in view the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Civil Appeal No. 213 of 2013 titled as State of Punjab and others vs. Jagjit Singh and others, decided on 26.10.2016*** hence, the respondents be directed to grant the said benefit to the petitioners along with the dearness allowance.

2. From the pleadings, it transpires that the grievance being raised in the present writ petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 06.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at

this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 06.03.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further order is required to be passed in the present writ petition at this stage and the same is accordingly disposed of.

30-01-2025

Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO