

**CWP-3228-2022 (O&M)****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CWP-3228-2022 (O&M)****Date of Decision: January 30, 2025****Ravinder Kumar and others****.... Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH
SETHI**

Present: - Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Solomon Partap Singh, AAG., Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Khanna, Advocate and
Mr. Vijay Pratap Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the applicant
in CM-13974-CWP-2022.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have worked for more than 5 years on the post of Motivators/Master Motivators for the respondent department. The petitioners intend to approach the respondents for regularization of their services by filing an appropriate representation and the respondents be directed to decide their representation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that challenge is against the advertisement/recruitment notice dated 31.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) for the post of Block Resource Coordinator (BRC)-

cum-Community Facilitator, and in case the petitioners are eligible, they be allowed to compete for the same.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any of the petitioners is eligible for competing for the post, mentioned hereinbefore in accordance to notification dated 31.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) they will be allowed to compete and their candidature will be considered in accordance with law. And with regard to the claim of the petitioners for regularization of their services, learned counsel for respondent stipulates that in case any such representation is received the same will be decided in accordance with law by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of filing of the representation and in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any relief the same will be granted and if the findings are to the contrary, then due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the plea of the petitioners.

4. As prayed for the present writ petition is disposed of as having been not pressed with liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation.

5. Pending applications including application for impleadment are disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 30, 2025
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No