



211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5162-2025

Date of Decision: 25.03.2025

Nitin Pandey

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Gurjinder Singh Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail in case FIR No.155 dated 12.11.2024 registered under Sections 140(3), 304(2), 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 190, 191 of BNSS, 2023, at Police Station Anaj Mandi, District Patiala.

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail on 06.02.2025, this Court had noticed the following contentions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a young boy, aged about 19 years and is studying in 10+2 class. As per him, the occurrence had allegedly taken place on 31.10.2024 and the FIR has been got registered by the complainant on 12.11.2024, after due deliberations. It has been alleged that the petitioner alongwith 5/6 persons had allegedly come to the house of the complainant on motorcycles and had forcibly taken him away. Even they had beaten him up with dandas and removed his clothes. However, there is no MLR in the

present case and the complainant had not suffered any injury in the alleged occurrence.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and submitted that the petitioner has already joined the investigation before the Investigating Officer. However, it has been wrongly shown that the petitioner did not appear before the Investigating Officer in the present case.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel does not dispute the factual submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner may be directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above, the interim order dated 06.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. He shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

25.03.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No