

215 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:170715



CRM-M-5583-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.12.2025

RAJWANT KAUR ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. J.S.Bajwa, Advocate (Legal Aid Counsel)
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No. 210 dated 11.11.2023, under Sections 302, 452, 201, 34 IPC and 25, 27, 54, 59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar.

2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner had taken proposal for marriage of Gurpreet Singh co-accused to the residence of the deceased for getting Gurpreet Singh married with the daughter of the deceased, namely Lovepreet Kaur. The deceased (mother of Lovepreet Kaur) rejected the proposal on which, the present petitioner called Gurpreet Singh and informed him that the proposal has been rejected. Thereupon, Gurpreet Singh jumped the wall and came into the house of the deceased and shot her dead. This occurrence was witnessed by the petitioner and the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. She has played no role in the said incident and moreover, she was not aware of the intention of Gurpreet Singh. She is not involved in any other case. The petitioner is in custody for the last 01

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 11 months and 25 days. Out of 24 prosecution witnesses, only 2 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.
6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
7. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and the fact that the petitioner has no role in shooting incident; she is not involved in any other case; the petitioner is in custody for the last 01 year, 11 months and 25 days; Out of 24 prosecution witnesses, only 2 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far; trial of the case is likely to take some time, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.
8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

08.12.2025

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/Noz