

2025:PHHC:044322



209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5648-2025

Date of decision: 02.04.2025

Balwinder Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Vinay Kumar Mahajan, Advocate and
Mr. Sushant Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Senior DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail, under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.0088, dated 06.05.2023, under Sections 420, 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Ludhiana.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 04.03.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation:-

“On the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner, who is based in Canada, is willing to travel to India to face proceedings in the present case. However, she has a genuine apprehension that upon her arrival in India, she may be arrested due to the registration of the FIR in question. Learned counsel for the petitioner had also undertaken to place on record a photocopy of her air tickets as proof of her intended travel to India.

Today, learned counsel has placed on record a photocopy of the air tickets of the petitioner. As per the tickets placed on record, the petitioner is scheduled to arrive in India on 11.03.2025.

Furthermore, learned counsel has contended that the offences alleged against the petitioner in the present case are triable by a Magistrate, that the case is primarily based on documentary evidence, and that the petitioner is willing to cooperate with the Investigating Agency upon her arrival in India.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 04.03.2025, petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 04.03.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 02, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No