



233 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4988-2025

Date of Decision: 03.02.2025

Manpreet Singh alias Rohit

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.131 dated 12.10.2024 registered under Sections 310(4), 310(5) of BNS of 2023 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case by coining a false version. Neither the petitioner is a member of a group, which commits robbery nor the petitioner was ever involved in the case of robbery or dacoity. He further contends that the petitioner had never planned with his co-accused for the purpose of committing the robbery or dacoity and the recovery of .12 bore pistol and four cartridges have been planted on him. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 12.10.2024 and is in custody since then. After completion of



investigation, challan has already been presented against him and the trial is not likely to conclude in near future.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. He further contends that two more criminal cases were ordered to be registered against the present petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was arrested on 12.10.2024 and after completion of investigation, challan has already been presented against him. Still further, the prosecution has not been able to examine even a single witness so far and no purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

03.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No