



CRM-M-5008-2025 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210 (1st case)

CRM-M-5008-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.05.2025

Pawan Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Mayank Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Didar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok K. Sharma (Bhana)
Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed, under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.173 dated 22.06.2023, registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Civil Lines, Jind.

2. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate dated 28.05.2025 of petitioner and the same is taken on record.

Registry to do the needful.

3. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused in criminal conspiracy with each other duped the *de facto* complainant-Deepak to the tune of Rs. 37 lakh on the pretext of sending him abroad.

4. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 12.12.2023; final



CRM-M-5008-2025 2

report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C') was presented on 08.02.2024; charges were framed on 15.07.2024, but out of total 10 prosecution witnesses, 05 have been examined so far.

5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant opposed the prayer on the ground that allegations are very serious in nature.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 12.12.2023; final report under Section 173 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C') was presented on 08.02.2024; charges were framed on 15.07.2024, but out of total 10 prosecution witnesses, 05 have been examined so far. Moreover, State has not raised any objection that in case, petitioner is released on bail, he shall influence the witnesses or hamper the course of trial in any manner; thus, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.



CRM-M-5008-2025 3

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

29.05.2025	(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
Rajeev (rvs)	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No