



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

CRM-M-5273-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 30.01.2025

AMANDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for quashing of orders dated 19.07.2023, 19.03.2024, 20.05.2024 and 15.01.2025. Vide order dated 19.07.2023, the bail of the petitioner had been cancelled and his bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited to the State. In the subsequent orders also, learned trial Court has given direction for issuance of warrants of arrest against the petitioner apart from initiating proceedings under Section 446 of Cr.P.C.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that due to some misunderstanding in noting the date of hearing, he could not appear on 19.07.2023. His absence was not intentional or deliberate. He is ready to join the proceedings before the learned trial Court. He had moved an application for grant of pre-arrest bail before the learned trial Court which was dismissed vide order dated 17.12.2024. He is ready to abide by the terms and



conditions to be imposed upon him. Therefore, prayer has been made for allowing the present petition.

3. Learned State counsel who has advance notice of the petition has submitted that the petitioner is continuing to his absence w.e.f. 19.07.2023 and therefore, does not deserve to be extended concession of bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for both the parties and have gone through the record carefully.

3. The petitioner had absented himself before learned trial Court on 19.07.2023 as a consequence of which his bail was cancelled and bail bonds and surety bonds were forfeited to the State. Now warrants of arrest has been issued against the present petitioner for 17.04.2025.

4. Though, no justification has been made out for setting aside the impugned orders as no illegality seems to have been committed by learned trial Court while passing the same, however, in view of the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner at this stage to grant the petitioner one more opportunity to surrender before the trial Court and further keeping in view the fact that the absence of the petitioner does not attract the consequences of detaining him into custody as he is willing to join the trial and the ultimate aim is to ensure timely disposal of the cases before the learned trial Court, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court within a period of 15 days from today and it is further ordered that on his surrender and on moving appropriate application, the learned trial Court shall admit him to



bail subject to his furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to its satisfaction and subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited with District Legal Services Authority, Ludhiana. However, this petition shall be deemed to be dismissed if the petitioner does not abide by this order.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

30.01.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |