



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CWP-15519-2023
Date of decision: 01.05.2025**

RAM DIYA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Shubham Saroha, Advocate and
Mr. Dharmender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 09.04.2021 passed by the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Panchukla whereby the special ACPs of the petitioner had been withdrawn. A further prayer has also been made for promoting the petitioner w.e.f. 24.10.1986.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the respondents have passed a fresh order promoting the petitioner w.e.f. 24.10.1986. He thus contends that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous so far as the substantive relief is concerned. He contends that the respondent-State

**CWP-15519-2023**

-2-

may be directed to re-calculate the ACPs and any other benefits admissible to the petitioner and disburse the same in a time bound manner.

3. Learned Counsel for the respondent does not dispute to the same.

4. Hence, disposing of the writ petition as having been rendered infructuous for the substantive relief, the respondent-State is directed to re-calculate the admissible benefits of the petitioner in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The admissible financial benefits shall also be released to him within a further period of two months, failing which the petitioner shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum from the expiry of the aforesaid period till its actual disbursement.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

01.05.2025*Vishal Sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No