



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRM-M- 4961-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.05.2025**

Jaskaran Singh @ Gurjit Singh @ Karan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Munish Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 0105 dated 30.12.2024, under Sections 118(1) read with Section 3(5), 132, 221, 304, 62 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Rureke Kalan, District Barnala.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused in furtherance of their common intention caused injuries to complainant and also tried to snatch cash bag.

3. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 02.04.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.



4. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel and on instructions from quarter concerned submits that his custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court on 02.04.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Learned State counsel seeks more time to have instructions in the matter.

Posted for 20.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”

7. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

8. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 02.04.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

9. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.



11. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

20.05.2025

Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No