



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

138

CRWP-875-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.01.2025

JAG ROSHANI AND ANR

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Nikhil Batta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 and take action on their representation dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner no.1 was earlier married with Shish Pal and one girl child was born out of this wedlock. Thereafter petitioner no.1 got divorced from him and child is in the custody of petitioner no.1 and came to her parental home with her child. Petitioner no.2 got married to Harpreet Kaur and out of this wedlock two children were born. Thereafter, both got divorced and custody of the child is with the petitioner no.2. It is further submitted that petitioner



no.1 wants to sell a property and in that aspect she met petitioner no.2 as he is a property dealer and thereafter both become good friends. He used to help her in her bad days that is why petitioner no.1 requested him to live and spend her future with him. Thereafter both started living in live-in-relationship. However, their parents are against their relationship and threats have even been extended to them. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners' counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and without going into the question of validity of their relationship and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) within a period of 15 days in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.



6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 20.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

28.01.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |