



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

139

CRWP-882-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.01.2025

KOMALPREET KAUR AND ANRPetitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 & 3 not to harass the petitioners illegally at the hands of respondents no. 4 to 7 and also direct respondents no.2 & 3 to restrain respondents no. 4 to 7 from interfering in the married life of the petitioners and take action on their representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-6).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 24.01.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab (Annexure P-6) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior



Superintendent of Police, District Hoshiarpur, Punjab to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.
- 5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 24.01.2025 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

28.01.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |