



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225/2

CRM-M-5426-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 01.07.2025

KRISHAN PARVEEN AND ORS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of cross
case/Rapat Number (Annexure P-2) registered in FIR No.45 dated
05.05.2018 registered under Sections 325, 323, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at
Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur, and all consequential
proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated
21.12.2024 (Annexure P-4) executed between the parties. Details of the
cross case/Rapat are as follows:

Rapat No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
39	05.05.2018	341, 323, 148 and 149 IPC	Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur



2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 21.12.2024 (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hoshiarpur vide report dated 17.06.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.25,000/- in compliance to the order dated 21.05.2025.

3. Respondent Nos.2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Hoshiarpur and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Rapat No.39 dated 05.05.2018, under Sections 341, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) registered in FIR No.45 dated 05.05.2018 registered under Sections 325,



323, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.07.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No