



CWP-2208-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(108)

CWP-2208-2025

Date of Decision : 28.01.2025

Jagmail Singh Sher Gill @ Jagmail Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Lovedev Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Ram Kaplish, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of his grievance, and without approaching the competent authorities concerned, i.e. Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF), has sidetracked the said remedy, and has straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the instant writ petition.

2. In such circumstances, this Court refrains from exercising its power, as envisaged under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to approach the competent authorities concerned, i.e. Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum



CWP-2208-2025

(CGRF), for the relief, as sought in the instant writ petition, within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order/judgment.

4. In case, the petitioner approaches the authority concerned within the stipulated period, the latter shall make an effort to decide the complaint most expeditiously.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 28, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No