



CRWP-852-2025 (O&M)

-1-

110

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-852-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 28, 2025

Rajwinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms.Preety Arya, Advocate for
Mr.Geeteshwar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)**CRM-W-103-2025**

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Main case

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and not to interfere in their married life at the behest of respondents No.4 and 5 and their other relatives and friends as the marriage of the petitioners is inter-caste marriage.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3

and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation, dated 24.01.2025

**CRWP-852-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

(Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

January 28, 2025

meenuss

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

1. **Whether speaking/reasoned ?** **Yes/No**
2. **Whether reportable ?** **Yes/No**