



CWP- 2152-2025 and connected case

-1-

117 (02 cases)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 10.02.2025

1. CWP- 2152-2025

DEEPAK

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

2. CWP-2336-2025

KANWALJIT

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

A common question of law on similar facts arises for consideration in these two petitions and the same are, therefore, being decided by this order. For brevity, the facts are being taken from CWP-2152-2025, which has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents not to relieve the petitioner, who is working as Peon in District Library, Jind, since 2013, as pursuant to fresh selection of Group D (common cadre) candidates, dated 17.01.2025, another Peon has been posted



CWP- 2152-2025 and connected case

-2-

in the Library.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was appointed as Peon in District Library, Jind, pursuant to advertisement dated 16.05.2013. In terms of instructions issued by the government vide letter dated 27.12.2024, he is not to be relieved from service even on posting of a new incumbent since he has been engaged prior to 15.08.2019 and is required to be considered for granting security of service under the Haryana Contractual Employees (Security of Service) Act, 2024.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute that in terms of Government letter dated 27.12.2024, the petitioner is not to be relieved even in the event of posting of a regular employee and his case is required to be processed by the Department for granting benefit of security of service under the Act of 2024. Accordingly, his apprehension of being relieved from service is misplaced.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) has no objection to the petition(s) being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

10.02.2025
Seema/Aman

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>