



124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-922-2025**DECIDED ON: 03.02.2025****JASWINDER SINGH****.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Aditya Dassaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of an appropriate writ order or a direction in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents no.1 to 2 to ensure that the petitioners' right to life and personal liberty as enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India is not violated by calling them to appear again and again before various police officers under the garb of repeated false complaints being given by Respondents no.6 with a further prayer that appropriate direction be issued to respondent no.3 to ensure that the petitioner are not subjected to undue harassment by repeatedly conducting multiple enquiries and summoning and detaining him by the police at the behest of Respondent no.6

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that respondents No.4 & 5 may be removed from the array of parties, as they are not necessary parties.

In view of the above submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondents No.4 & 5 be removed from the memo of the parties.

Without adverting to the merits of the case at this stage, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2/Director General of Police, Punjab to look into the representation dated 21.01.2025 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from today.

A copy of order so passed be supplied to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

03.02.2025

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>