



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(220)

CRM-M-4550-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 09.12.2025

Arshdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Nikhil Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

[Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.](#)

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.51 dated 13.09.2023, under Sections 21 and 29 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Mattewal, District Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the present petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case; the petitioner is not involved in any other case; he is in custody for the last more than two years and around three months; co-accused Vardan Masih has been granted bail vide order dated 10.04.2024, passed in CRM-M-9867-2024, hence, prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner is made.

3. On the other hand, leaned State counsel opposed the bail petition by submitting that out of total twenty prosecution witnesses, six have been examined whereas three given up. Learned State counsel also placed on record custody certificate of the petitioner and admitted that petitioner is in custody for the last more than two years and two months.



Learned State counsel submitted at bar that action has been taken against the police officials for not appearing before the trial Court in compliance of notice for their deposition.

4. Heard.

5. As per the facts and circumstances of the present case, it is alleged that the petitioner was apprehended and 510 gms of heroin was recovered from his conscious possession; there are serious allegation against the petitioner as a heavy commercial quantity is alleged to be recovered from his conscious possession; although co-accused was granted bail, but he is not placed on similar footings to that of the present petitioner as nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and he was nominated only on the basis of disclosure statement of the petitioner. In view of the same, learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within six months and if it fails to conclude the same within the said period, then the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application before the learned trial Court for releasing him on bail and trial Court shall release him on bail on his furnishing bail bonds / surety bonds to its satisfaction. It is made clear that if the delay in the trial is caused on the part of the petitioner/accused, then he shall not be entitled for the aforesaid relief.

6. Disposed of in the above terms.

7. All pending misc. applications, if any, be also disposed of.

09.12.2025
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No