



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5606-2025
Date of decision: 19.03.2025

MAHENDER....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Navnoor Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Kumar Gujjar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
63	21.02.2024	406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B of IPC	Faridabad Central.

- 2.Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 03.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 03.03.2025.
- 3.Learned State counsel intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.
- 4.During the course of hearing on 03.03.2025, following order was passed:



CRM-M-5606-2025

2

“ Heard.

It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that as per the complainant there is a dispute qua payment of Rs. Ten lakhs to both the accused, including the petitioner. He refers to the statements Annexure A-1 and A-2 given by co-accused Lalit Kumar and the complainant Sanjay Munjal on 28.09.2024 before the learned trial Court, wherein on the basis of compromise, the complainant has already received an amount of Rs. Ten lakhs. He submits that the entire recovery after having been made and the matter having been compromised, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required. Hence, the anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the fact that the payment of Rs. Ten Lakhs has been received by the complainant. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also placed on record a photocopy of the passbook, showing encashment of the cheque amount paid to the complainant.

Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

List on 19.03.2025.”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 03.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 03.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to



CRM-M-5606-2025

3

conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 7. The petition stands allowed.
- 8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

19.03.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |