

CRM-M-4524-2025

:1:

2025.PHHC.014843



213 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-4524-2025
Date of Decision:31.01.2025**

RAMAN KUMAR ALIAS RAMNI

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Piyush Setia, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

Prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.23 dated 22.02.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 336, 325, 341, 323, 379-B, 148, 149 of IPC and later on Section 307 of IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act were added at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka.

2. The allegations in nutshell are that complainant Hardeep Singh reported to the police that on 20.02.2024 at about 11:30 AM, he along with his friend Sunil Kumar reached in the area of village Houz Gandhar, they were intercepted by the accused persons including the



present petitioner and at that time the present petitioner fired in air from his pistol while other accused, who were armed with kappas also caused injuries to the complainant and the accused persons also snatched his wallet and mobile phone. During investigation the petitioner was arrested and he got effected recoveries of one pistol and a car used in commission of crime.

3. Counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner is falsely named in the FIR and otherwise also only allegations against him are that at the time of alleged occurrence he fired shots in air with a pistol and the recovery of the said weapon is already effected. It is further submitted that the petitioner is incarcerated for the last more than 7 months and it will take considerable time for the trial to conclude as till date charges are not framed and that further detention of the petitioner in judicial custody is not going to serve any purpose. So, prayer is made that petitioner be enlarged on regular bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that at the time of occurrence, the petitioner actively participated and fired shots in air with his pistol to create fear in the mind of the complainant and at the same time his accomplices caused injuries with the help of kappas to the complainant. However, the State counsel has not disputed the fact that during

investigation firearm used by the petitioner is recovered and petitioner is in custody for the last more than 7 months and that the trial will commence only after the framing of charges. The State counsel has also brought to the notice of this Court that petitioner is involved in some other criminal case as are detailed in his custody certificate.

6. I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

7. In view of the fact that no injury except for firing shots in air is attributed to the present petitioner, who is behind the bars for the last more than 7 months and the trial is still to commence and the recovery of weapon used by the petitioner is already effected, no fruitful purpose is going to be served by keeping the petitioner in custody for any longer period.

8. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

31.01.2025

Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(KARAMJIT SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No