



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

267

Date of decision: 19.11.2025

1. CRR-186-2024 (O&M)

PAWAN KUMAR GOYAL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

2. CRR-303-2024

VENUS GOYAL

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Kashish Sahni, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Suneet Aulakh, Advocate and
Mr. R.S. Brar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of above noted two petitions, as the same have emanated out of the same occurrence.

2. The present revision petitions have been filed by the petitioners against the common judgment dated 23.01.2024, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, whereby appeals preferred against the judgment



- 2-

of conviction and order of sentence dated 31.10.2017, passed by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Fatehgarh Sahib have been dismissed.

2. The petitioners have been held guilty and convicted for the offences under Sections 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC and they have been sentenced to undergo imprisonment for two years under Section 120-B IPC, one year under Section 471 IPC and for three years under Sections 467 and 471 IPC by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Fatehgarh Sahib, vide judgment dated 31.10.2017. Feeling aggrieved, they preferred an appeal before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, which has also been dismissed, vide judgment dated 23.01.2024. Thereafter, the present revision petition was filed.

3. During the pendency of the present revision petition, the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and now, the dispute has been settled between the parties, who belong to the same family.

4. Learned counsel appearing for both parties submit that the dispute was orally settled between the parties by way of a family settlement and subsequently, it was reduced into writing through a settlement before the mediator and as per the settlement, the entire payment has been made to the complainant and the share certificates have been issued.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that he has no objection in case the settlement/compromise is accepted and the revisionists are acquitted of the charges and the judgment passed by both the Courts are set aside.

6. In view of the above, the present revision petitions are allowed and judgments passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Fatehgarh Sahib, and learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib dated 31.10.2017 and



23.01.2024 respectively are set aside and petitioners are hereby acquitted of the charges.

- 7 A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.
- 8. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

19.11.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No