

**CWP-2113-2025**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2113-2025**Date of decision: 06.02.2025**

Sarban Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Sarban Singh) prays for a Mandamus, commanding respondents No.1 to 4 to take a suitable action against respondents No. 7 to 9, for they are carrying out illegal mining/quarrying from the Government land, measuring 28 kanal 7 marla, situated in Hadbast No.272, in the revenue estate of village Kot Mohammad Khan Tehsil Dharamkot, District Moga.

On January 27, 2025, learned State counsel had caused appearance and sought time to seek instructions and/or submit response. Accordingly, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has filed a short reply by way of affidavit of the Executive Engineer/Golewal, Drainage-cum-Mines and Geology Division, Ferozepur, on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 4, in Court today, which is taken on record. Copy furnished.

It would be apposite to refer to the stand set out in the said reply and, thus, paragraphs No.2 and 3 read as under:-

“2. That instant case has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of directions to respondents no.1 to 4 to take strict legal action against respondents no. to 9 for conducting illegal mining/quarrying, from the Government



land, measuring 28 kanal 7 marla, situated in the area of village Kot Mohammad Khan, Tehsil Dharamkot, District Moga.

3. That however, till today no complaint has ever received in the office of answering respondent regarding alleged illegal mining from the government land as alleged by the petitioner, in the instant petition. Moreover, after getting the copy of instant petition, the answering respondents visited the spot and found that there was no sign of any illegal mining at the spot.”

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner, as always, fairly submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, to enable the petitioner to move the respondent authorities, as regards his concerns/grievances, if any, by moving a comprehensive representation.

To which, learned State counsel submits that in the event any such representation is received, the same shall be taken cognizance of and dealt with, in accordance with law.

As prayed, dismissed as withdrawn.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

06.02.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No