



CRM-M-4992-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M-4992-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.03.2025**

Karanveer Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Pawan K. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0337 dated 18.11.2023, under Sections 120-B, 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sector 14, Panchkula.

2. Above FIR was lodged by *de-facto* complainant-Joginder Singh with the allegations that petitioner in criminal conspiracy with co-accused defrauded him to the tune of Rs. 65 lakhs on the pretext of providing Government job, liquor-vend as well as for sending one of his known namely Tilak Raj to Canada.

3. Reply by way of affidavit dated 17.03.2025 of Mr. Surender Singh, HPS, ACP, Panchkula on behalf of respondent has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.



CRM-M-4992-2025

2

4. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 29.01.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined the investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

5. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Chiranji Lal and submits that his custodial interrogation is not required.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

7. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 29.01.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends, inter alia, that de facto complainant is a previous convict in FIR No.368 dated 18.04.2015, under Sections 302, 201, 216 read with Section 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station, Chandni Bagh, Panipat; thus there is no question of his appointment as Naib Tehsildar and/or going abroad.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.

Posted for 18.03.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer, but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”

8. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

9. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 29.01.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

10. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with



the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

- 11. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.
- 12. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

18.03.2025 (MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
Rajeev (rvs) JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No