



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-1985-2025

Date of Decision: 24.01.2025

NARENDER SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA


Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of certiorari quashing the letter dated 13/19.11.2024 (wrongly mentioned as 13.11.2023), Annexure P-6, whereby the petitioner, who is working as PGT Sociology/Guest Lecturer in the office of Block Research Coordinator (BRC), Tohana, has been adjusted against a vacant post at Government Senior Secondary School, Banawali, Fatehabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that vide order dated 19.09.2024, Annexure P-4, certain BRCs were posted in different offices, including the one where the petitioner was working. In terms of the order, however, he was required to continue working in the same office until a station was allotted by the office of Director, Secondary Education, Haryana; and he is still working there. Later, the Department issued the impugned order dated 13/19.11.2024, whereby he has been adjusted as BRC in Government Senior Secondary School, Banawali, which is more than 50 km away from his



current place of posting. Posts in nearby schools/offices remain vacant, including one in the office of BRC at Bawani Khera, Bhiwani, and another (of PGT Sociology) at Government Girls High School, Siswala, Hisar. He has made a representation to respondent no.1, dated 21.11.2024, Annexure P-7, for adjustment in any nearby school against a vacant post which is pending consideration, and that is the reason he has not been relieved from his present place of posting at Tohana so far.

3. Learned State counsel contends that the pending representation will be decided by the competent authority by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within three weeks.

4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. However, the petitioner shall be allowed to continue working at the present place of posting in the office of BRC, Tohana, till the decision of his representation.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

24.01.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>