



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2029-2025

Date of Decision: 27.01.2025

Raj Kapoor

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to retain him on the pay roll as per policy dated 06.09.2019 (Annexure P-3). He is further seeking direction to respondents to decide his appeal in a time bound manner.

2. Mr. Virender Kumar, counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed if respondents are directed to decide his appeal (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and waives service.

5. With consent of learned counsel for the parties, the case is taken up



6. Learned State counsel submits that Appellate Authority would consider appeal of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within two months from today. She further assures the Court that case of the petitioner would be considered in the light of similarly situated employees.
7. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees with aforesaid arrangement.
8. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.
9. Let the needful be done within a period of two months from today.

27.01.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No