



CRM-M-4231-2025 (O&M)

1

320

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCRM-M-4231-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.02.2025

RAMANDEEP SINGH AND RAMU MAAN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the private parties.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Harpreet Singh Brar, J. (Oral)

1. This is a petition under Section 528 of BNSS for quashing of FIR No.0012 dated 30.01.2024 under Sections 295-A,506 IPC and Sections 25,27/54/59 of Arms Act registered at P.S City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 27.01.2025:

“This is a petition under Section 528 of BNSS for quashing of FIR No.0012 dated 30.01.2024 under Sections 295-A,506 IPC and Secteions 25,27/54/59 of Arms Act registered at P.S City Malout, District Sri Muktsar Sahib with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 10.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Karanvir Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos.2 to 6 and has filed Vakalatnama. He admits the factum of compromise entered into between the parties.

List on 24.02.2025.

In the meantime, the parties are directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court within a period of three weeks



from today, for recording of their statements with regard to the compromise.

The trial Court is directed to submit a report on or before the next date of hearing containing the following information:-

- (i) Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;*
- (ii) Whether any accused is declared as proclaimed offender?*
- (iii) Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- (iv) Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not?"*

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0012 dated 30.01.2024 under Sections 295-A,506 IPC and Sections 25,27/54/59 of Arms Act registered at P.S City Malout, District Sri Muktsar along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

24.02.2025

Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>