

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-4644-2025
Date of decision: 11.02.2025

JAGGA SINGH
....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA
....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Ms. Neha Bindal, Advocate for
Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidhant, AAG Haryana.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
75	05.04.2020	147, 148, 452, 506 IPC and 25 of Arms Act.	Kalan Wali, District Hisar

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 28.01.2025, the petitioner has appeared before the learned Trial Court and has placed on record the copy of the order dated 31.01.2025. The petitioner has also deposited the costs of Rs.5,000/- imposed by this Court vide order dated 28.01.2025.



3. During the course of hearing on 28.01.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ 2. *Heard.*

3. *It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that after having been granted the concession of bail in case FIR (supra), the petitioner had been regularly appearing before the learned Trial Court in case No. SC-380-2022, 'State of Haryana vs. Paramjeet Singh and others', pending in the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Sirsa. However, on 27.11.2024, he could not appear in the Court on account of marriage of his niece and an application to this effect could not be filed by his counsel due to inadvertence leading to cancellation of bail and issuance of non bailable warrants of arrest for 06.01.2025. She further contends that now the case is pending for 17.02.2025 for the presence of the petitioner.*

4. *Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the absence of the petitioner was not intentional and if granted the concession of bail the petitioner will regularly appear in the Court on each and every date of hearing without fail and face the trial.*

5. *Notice of motion, returnable for 11.02.2025.*


6. *On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice and does not dispute the factual matrix of the case.*

7. *Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Judge on duty/Duty Magistrate within a period of 07 days from today and in that event, learned Trial Court/Judge on duty/Duty Magistrate will admit the petitioner on interim bail subject to its satisfaction on his furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds.*

8. *The petitioner is also burdened to pay a cost of Rs. 5,000/- in the District Legal Services Authority, Sirsa, as a condition precedent for grant of interim bail.*

9. *The petitioner shall also furnish a specific undertaking before the learned Trial Court/Judge on duty that, in future, he would be regularly appearing before the concerned Court on each and every date and in case of some exigency, he will seek prior permission of the concerned Court qua his exemption to appear on the date fixed.”*

4. Learned State counsel does not dispute the factual matrix of the case and informs the Court that the petitioner has appeared before the



learned Trial Court in compliance to the order dated 28.01.2025, passed by this Court.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner has appeared before the learned Trial Court consequent to the order dated 28.01.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 28.01.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to furnish a specific undertaking that in future they will appear on each and every date of hearing without fail and only in case of extreme exigency will seek prior exemption from the Court in accordance with law.

6. The petitioner will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.02.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |