



221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4163-2025**Date of Decision: 04.02.2025**

Pargat Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 Cr.P.C., with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.165 dated 14.09.2024, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR in the present case was got registered by the complainant after a delay of 19 days of the occurrence and the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. He further contends that vide compromise deed (Annexure P-2), the parties have entered into a compromise and both the parties have resolved all their disputes. He further contends that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation in the present case.



3. Status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Sultanpur Lodhi, Kapurthala has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner had caused grievous injury by using a datar in the present case and the petition deserves to be dismissed.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3/complainant submits that he has no objection, in case the present petition is allowed as the parties have entered into a compromise and admits the contents of compromise deed (Annexure P-2).

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, even though, the petitioner has alleged to have participated in the occurrence but after the occurrence, both the parties have entered into a compromise. Even the parties have resolved all their disputes. Thus, no purpose will be served by sending the petitioner behind bars.

7. Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

04.02.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No