



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

375

CWP-8364-2003 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.11.2025

MANDIP SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Ms. Jasmine, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Puru Jarewal, DAG Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to reinstate him and grant consequential benefits.

2. The petitioner joined Punjab Police as SPO in December 1992. He was implicated in FIR No.53 dated 01.05.2002 under Sections 384, 342 and 511 IPC registered at PS 'B' Division, Amritsar and again in FIR No.57 of 2001 under Sections 382, 506 IPC registered at PS Ram Bagh, Amritsar. He was issued show cause notice to which he filed reply. He was discharged from service. He came to be acquitted by trial Court. He made multiple representations requesting respondent to reinstate him.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent reinstated similarly situated employees. Claim of petitioner despite his

acquittal was not considered.

4. The petitioner was working as Special Police Officer (SPO). He was neither governed by Punjab Police Rules nor Punjab Civil Services Rules. He was holding said post on temporary basis. A period of 22 years has passed away. At this stage, it is neither appropriate nor desirable to direct the respondent to reinstate the petitioner. Court is not oblivious of the fact that at present there is no post of SPO with Punjab Police.

5. In the wake of above discussion and findings, this Court is of the considered opinion that the instant petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

November 04, 2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No