

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 07.03.2025

...PETITIONERS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

Mr. Sandeep Kashyap, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.76 dated 24.03.2024 registered under Sections 457, 379-B, 323 IPC (Sections 325, 411, 460 IPC added later on) at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural) (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise dated 05.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

2. The following order was passed on 28.01.2025 :-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No.76 dated 24.03.2024 registered under Sections 457, 379-B, 323 IPC (Sections 325, 411, 460 IPC added later on) at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural) (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise dated 05.12.2024 (Annexure P-2) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Notice of motion for 28.02.2025.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State and Mr. Sandeep Kashyap, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and files Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.



Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed i.e. 28.02.2025.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46**, and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.76 dated 24.03.2024 registered under Sections 457, 379-B, 323 IPC (Sections 325, 411, 460 IPC added later on) at Police Station Phillaur, District Jalandhar (Rural) (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

March 07, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |